

PERFORMANCE AGREEMENT

MADE AND ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:



THE CACADU DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY
AS REPRESENTED BY THE MUNICIPAL MANAGER

DAYALAN MURUVEN PILLAY
(HEREIN REFERED TO AS THE 'EMPLOYER')

AND

DANIEL JOHANNES DE LANGE
DIRECTOR: FINANCE AND CORPORATE SERVICES
(HEREIN REFERED TO AS THE 'EMPLOYEE')

FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR:
1 JULY 2012 - 30 JUNE 2013

CMB
SA

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The **Employer** has entered into a contract of employment with the **Employee** in terms of section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The **Employer** and the **Employee** are hereinafter referred to as "the Parties".
- 1.2 Section 57(1)(b) of the Systems Act, read with the Contract of Employment concluded between the parties, requires the parties to conclude an annual performance agreement.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the **Employee** to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.4 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B) and 57(5) of the Systems Act.
- 1.5 That the in terms of the MFMA Regulations and Government Gazette Vol. 504, No. 29967 dated 15 June 2007, the **Employee** will obtain the minimum competency requirements to execute his/her function is achieved by 2013.


2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

- 2.1 comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b),(4A),(4B) and (5) of the Act as well as the employment contract entered into between the parties;
- 2.2 specify objectives and targets defined and agreed with the employee and to communicate to the employee the employer's expectations of the employee's performance and accountabilities in alignment with the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the municipality;
- 2.3 specify accountabilities as set out in a performance plan, which forms an annexure to the performance agreement;
- 2.4 monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 use the performance agreement as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his or her job;
- 2.6 in the event of outstanding performance, to appropriately reward the employee; and
- 2.7 give effect to the employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with its employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **1st July 2012** and will remain in force until **30th June 2013** thereafter a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.




- 3.2 The parties will review the provisions of this Agreement during June each year. The parties will conclude a new Performance Agreement and Performance Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later than the beginning of each successive financial year.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the **Employee's** contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above-mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
- 4.1.1 the performance objectives and targets that must be met by the **Employee**; and
- 4.1.2 the time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the **Employer** in consultation with the **Employee** and based on the Integrated Development Plan, Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP) and the Budget of the **Employer**, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target dates and weightings.
- 4.2.1 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done.
- 4.2.2 The key performance indicators and means of verification provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved.
- 4.2.3 The target dates describe the timeframe in which the work must be achieved.
- 4.2.4 The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- 4.3 The **Employee's** performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer's** Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The **Employee** agrees to participate in the performance management system that the **Employer** adopts or introduces for the **Employer**, management and municipal staff of the **Employer**.
- 5.2 The **Employee** accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the **Employer**, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.

Handwritten signatures and initials in black ink, including a large signature, a smaller signature, and the initials 'CB' and 'AJ'.

- 5.3 The **Employer** will consult the **Employee** about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the **Employee**.
- 5.4 The **Employee** undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 5.5 The criteria upon which the performance of the **Employee** shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the Performance Agreement.
- 5.5.1 The **Employee** must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Core Competency Requirements (CCRs) respectively.
- 5.5.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
- 5.5.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80% and CCRs will account for 20% of the final assessment.
- 5.6 The **Employee's** assessment will be based on his / her performance in terms of the outputs / outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (**Annexure A**), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80% of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the **Employer** and **Employee**:

Key Performance Areas (KPA's)	Weighting
Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation	19
Basic Service Delivery	1
Local Economic Development (LED)	0
Municipal Financial Viability and Management	54
Good Governance and Public Participation	27
Total	100%

- 5.7 In the case of managers directly accountable to the municipal manager, key performance areas related to the functional area of the relevant manager, must be subject to negotiation between the municipal manager and the relevant manager.
- 5.8 The CCRs will make up the other 20% of the **Employee's** assessment score. CCRs that are deemed to be most critical for the **Employee's** specific job should be selected (✓) from the list below as agreed to between the **Employer** and **Employee**. Three of the CCRs are compulsory for Municipal Managers:

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCR) FOR EMPLOYEES		
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	✓	WEIGHT
Strategic Capability and Leadership	✓	25
Programme and Project Management		
Financial Management	✓	25
Change Management		
Knowledge Management		

Handwritten signatures and initials, including 'CAB', 'R', and 'SAH', are present in the bottom right corner of the page.

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCR) FOR EMPLOYEES		
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES (CMC)	✓	WEIGHT
Service Delivery Innovation		
Problem Solving and Analysis		
People Management and Empowerment	✓	25
Client Orientation and Customer Focus		
Communication		
Honesty and Integrity		
CORE OCCUPATIONAL COMPETENCIES (COC)		
Competence in Self Management		
Interpretation of and implementation within the legislative and national policy frameworks	✓	25
Knowledge of Performance Management and Reporting		
Knowledge of global and South African specific political, social and economic contexts		
Competence in policy conceptualisation, analysis and implementation		
Knowledge of more than one functional municipal field / discipline		
Skills in Mediation		
Skills in Governance		
Skills in Mentorship		
Competence as required by other national line sector departments		
Exceptional and dynamic creativity to improve the functioning of the municipality		
Total percentage	-	100%

6. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 6.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out -
- 6.1.1 the standards and procedures for evaluating the **Employee's** performance; and
- 6.1.2 the intervals for the evaluation of the **Employee's** performance.
- 6.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the **Employer** may in addition review the **Employee's** performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 6.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 6.4 The **Employee's** performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the **Employer's** IDP.
- 6.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
- 6.5.1 **Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance plan:**

- (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to ad hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA.
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

6.5.2 Assessment of the CCRs

- (a) Each CCR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CCR.
- (c) This rating should be multiplied by the weighting given to each CCR during the contracting process, to provide a score.
- (d) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CCR score.

6.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

6.6 The assessment of the performance of the **Employee** will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCRs:

Level	Terminology	Description	Rating				
			1	2	3	4	5
5	Outstanding performance	Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against all performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance plan and maintained this in all areas of responsibility throughout the year.					
4	Performance significantly above expectations	Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has achieved above fully effective results against more than half of the performance criteria and indicators and fully achieved all others throughout the year.					

Handwritten signatures and initials, including 'CUB' and other illegible marks, located at the bottom right of the page.

Level	Terminology	Description	Rating				
			1	2	3	4	5
3	Fully effective	Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job. The appraisal indicates that the Employee has fully achieved effective results against all significant performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
2	Not fully effective	Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against more than half the key performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan.					
1	Unacceptable performance	Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The review/assessment indicates that the employee has achieved below fully effective results against almost all of the performance criteria and indicators as specified in the PA and Performance Plan. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment or ability to bring performance up to the level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.					


6.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of the municipal manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established -

- 6.7.1 Executive Mayor;
- 6.7.2 Chairperson of the performance audit committee or the Chairperson or designated performance management specialist of the audit committee in the absence of a performance audit committee;
- 6.7.3 Member of the mayoral or executive committee or in respect of a plenary type municipality, another member of council;
- 6.7.4 Mayor and/or municipal manager from another municipality; and
- 6.7.5 Member of a ward committee as nominated by the Executive Mayor.

6.8 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of managers directly accountable to the municipal managers, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established -

- 6.8.1 Municipal Manager;
- 6.8.2 Chairperson of the performance audit committee or the Chairperson or designated performance management specialist of the audit committee in the absence of a performance audit committee;
- 6.8.3 Member of the mayoral or executive committee or in respect of a plenary type municipality, another member of council; and
- 6.8.4 Municipal manager from another municipality.

6.9 The manager responsible for human resources of the municipality must provide secretariat services to the evaluation panels referred to in sub-regulations (6.7) and (6.8).

CAB


7. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

7.1 The performance of each **Employee** in relation to his / her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

First quarter	:	July – September	Before end October 2012
Second quarter	:	October – December	Before end January 2013
Third quarter	:	January – March	Before end April 2013
Fourth quarter	:	April – June	Before end January 2014

7.2 The **Employer** shall keep a record of the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.

7.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the **Employer's** assessment of the **Employee's** performance.

7.4 The **Employer** will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The **Employee** will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

7.5 The **Employer** may amend the provisions of Annexure A whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and / or amended as the case may be. In that case the **Employee** will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

8. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

9. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER


9.1 The Employer shall –

- 9.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the employee;
- 9.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 9.1.3 work collaboratively with the **Employee** to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the **Employee**;
- 9.1.4 on the request of the **Employee** delegate such powers reasonably required by the **Employee** to enable him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
- 9.1.5 make available to the **Employee** such resources as the **Employee** may reasonably require from time to time to assist him / her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement.

10. CONSULTATION

10.1 The **Employer** agrees to consult the **Employee** timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others –

- 10.1.1 a direct effect on the performance of any of the **Employee's** functions;



10.1.2 commit the **Employee** to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the **Employer**; and

10.1.3 a substantial financial effect on the **Employer**.

10.2 The **Employer** agrees to inform the **Employee** of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 10.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the **Employee** to take any necessary action without delay.

11. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

11.1 The evaluation of the **Employee's** performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.

11.2 A performance bonus of between 5% to 14% of the all-inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the **Employee** in recognition of outstanding performance to be constituted as follows:

11.2.1 a score of 130% to 149% is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 5% to 9%; and

11.2.2 a score of 150% and above is awarded a performance bonus ranging from 10% to 14%.

SCORE	BONUS %
130 - 133	5
134 - 137	6
138 - 141	7
142 - 145	8
146 - 149	9
150 - 153	10
154 - 157	11
158 - 161	12
162 - 165	13
166 - 167	14

11.2.3 A pro rata bonus will be payable to the **Employee** based on the amount of full months employed, in the event that the evaluation period is not for a full financial year subject to the following: -


That the evaluation period be no less than 6 months

That the employee be employed on the last day of the financial year and undergo a review during the agreed review period.

11.3 In the case of unacceptable performance, the **Employer** shall –

11.3.1 provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the **Employee** to improve his or her performance; and

11.3.2 after appropriate performance counselling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the **Employer** may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the **Employee** on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.



12. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

12.1 Any disputes about the nature of the **Employee's** performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provided for, shall be mediated by –

12.1.1 the MEC for local government in the province within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the **Employee**; or

12.1.2 any other person appointed by the MEC.

12.1.3 In the case of managers directly accountable to the municipal manager, a member of the municipal council, provided that such member was not part of the evaluation panel provided for in sub-regulation 27(4)(e) of the Municipal Performance Regulations, 2006, within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee;

whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties.

12.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 20 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

13. GENERAL



13.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the **Employer**.


13.2 Nothing in this agreement diminishes the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the **Employee** in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

13.3 The performance assessment results of the municipal manager must be submitted to the MEC responsible for local government in the relevant province as well as the national minister responsible for local government, within fourteen (14) days after the conclusion of the assessment.



Thus done and signed at PORT ELIZABETH on this the 30 day of JULY 2012

AS WITNESSES:

1. 
2. 


EMPLOYEE

AS WITNESSES:

1. 
2. 


MUNICIPAL MANAGER



CACADU DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY

PERFORMANCE PLAN : Danie de Lange

2012/2013

Director: Finance and Corporate Services

Finance and Corporate Services

Handwritten initials and signature: "CSB" and a signature that appears to be "D. de Lange".

PERFORMANCE PLAN : Danie de Lange (Director: Finance and Corporate Services) 2012/2013										
Objective	Strategy	Key Performance Indicator (Project)	Annual Target	Proof	Weighting	Targets				
						September 2012/2013	December 2012/2013	March 2012/2013	June 2012/2013	
General	Ensure that the Municipality complies with Legislation applicable to it	Completion of the OHASA quarterly safety checklist	4 x checklists	4 x checklists	1%	1 checklist	2 checklist	3 checklist	4 checklist	
			100% compliance to the 12 week turn-around time by HR	Log	2.1%	100% completed	100% completed	100% completed	100% completed	
General	Co-ordinate recruitment and selection processes A legally compliant municipality	100% disciplinary hearings organised within 2 months after service of notice of misconduct.	100%	Log	2.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
			100% training in accordance with the skills development plan for F&CS	Proof of completed courses, budget spent aligned to skills development plan	2.1%	100% Progress in terms of plan	100% Progress in terms of plan	100% Progress in terms of plan	100% complete	
			100% of a municipalities budget actually spent on implementing its workplace skills plan	Correspondence (Mayoral, MM, Directors)	2.1%	Reports to Mayoral and % recorded as actual	Reports to Mayoral and % recorded as actual	Reports to Mayoral and % recorded as actual	Reports to Mayoral and % recorded as actual	Reports to Mayoral and % recorded as actual
			Facilitate the development and implementation of budget related policies	Council approved policies	3.1%	n/a	n/a	revision of budget related policies	revision of budget related policies	policies approved by council
			100% of indicators met by officials reporting directly to post according to Performance Plans (shown through review documentation)	Performance review report (all officials) 4th quarter	3.1%	All plans in place, signed and sent to PMS Officer	First quarter reports and reviews sent to PMS Officer	Second quarter reports and reviews sent to PMS Officer	Third and Fourth quarter reports and reviews sent to PMS Officer	Third and Fourth quarter reports and reviews sent to PMS Officer
To maintain harmonious and content labour relations	Ensure finance	Existence of a functional LLF	4 Quarterly meetings	Minutes of meetings	2.1%	Ensure 100% functionality of LLF	Ensure 100% functionality of LLF	Ensure 100% functionality of LLF	Ensure 100% functionality of LLF	
			Outstanding Job	Report to	2.1%	JE's finalised	JE's finalised	JE's finalised	JE's finalised	

Handwritten signatures and dates: 2012/09/19

PERFORMANCE PLAN : Danie de Lange (Director: Finance and Corporate Services)
2012/2013


Objective	Strategy	Key Performance Indicator (Project)	Annual Target	Proof	Weighting	Targets				
						September 2012/2013	December 2012/2013	March 2012/2013	June 2012/2013	
KPA 4. MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MANAGEMENT										
To Improve effectiveness in municipal financial management	Improve corporate governance systems both in the district and LMs	Provision of assistance to 5 LMs in respect to GAMAP/GRAP compliance (Financial Statements) (Phase 1) (FIN00036)	Provide assistance to 5 LM's through 1 training workshops held in GMAPP/GRAP compliance and by making available a financial management improvement plan to the 5 LM's R 700 000	Report	3.1%	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	
						Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	
General	Exercise financial control over CDM	GRAP Tender - Service Provider Appointed (Phase 2) (FIN00037)	GRAP Tender - Service Provider Appointed Phase 2 - Implementation of FMIP R 2 000 000	Report	3.1%	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	Improved Financial Management process, policies, procedures and internal controls	
		Preparation of a funded budget	Adopted budget	Adoption by Council	14.4%	NA	Roll-over budgets received	Draft budget tabled	Draft budget tabled	Approved budget by 31 May 2008
Adherence to budgetary matters	Collection of outstanding debt and unconditional grants	Delivery of financial statements to OAG on or before 31 August annually	Delivered on or before 31 August	Proof of delivery	15.5%	Statements delivered on or before 31 August	Response provided to Draft Management letter	Final letter included in annual report	NA	
		Operating expenditure variance for F&CS not to exceed 10%	<10%	Financial statements	2.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA	less than 10%
To ensure financial sustainability	Achieving a balanced cash flow where the municipality is able to meet all its financial commitments in the financial year	Expenditure variance for F&CS not to exceed 10% (project related)	<10%	Financial statements	2.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA	less than 10%
		Balanced cash flow for year	Balanced cash flow for year	Financial statements	3.1%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Balanced cash flow. Meeting financial commitments
Valuation of long-term medical care	Completion of a VAT Audit	Completion of a VAT Audit	Completion by June	Record of results	1%	NA	Tender advertised	Service Provider appointed	Completed	
		Valuation of long-term medical care	Completion by end September	Valuation results and actuarial	1%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Valuation complete


(Handwritten signatures and initials)

PERFORMANCE PLAN : Danie de Lange (Director: Finance and Corporate Services)
2012/2013


Objective	Strategy	Key Performance Indicator (Project)	Annual Target	Proof	Weighting	Targets			
						September 2012/2013	December 2012/2013	March 2012/2013	June 2012/2013
		Ilabilities Delivery of an Annual Sustainability Report with recommendations	Sustainability report	Sustainability Report	2.1%	NA	NA	NA	Sustainability report adopted by Council
	Lobby for funding	4 Successful submissions to sector departments / Finance and Corporate Services Department	Increased funding or investment as result of submissions and presentations	Reports/copies of submissions	5.2%	1 Successful submission to sector departments/funders	1 Successful submission to sector departments/funders	1 Successful submission to sector departments/funders	1 Successful submission to sector departments/funders
KPA 5. GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION									
General	Ensure that capacity support to LMs is given priority	Implementation of the CDM Capacity building strategy - Finance and Corporate Services	3 Reports (quarterly) to Capacity Building Manager	Plan and 3 reports	4.1%	Capacity building plan for Finance and Corporate Services Developed	Report to Capacity Building Manager	Report to Capacity Building Manager	Report to Capacity Building Manager
	Ensure that the Municipality complies with legislation applicable to it	Delivery of consolidated financial statements to OAG on or before 30 September annually	Delivered on or before 30 September	Proof of delivery	2.1%	Statements delivered on or before 30 September	Response provided to Draft Management letter	Final letter included in annual report	NA
	Risk Management	100% Legal compliance to all key legislation and by-laws	90%	MFMA checklist complete with comments; In-year reporting	4.1%	100% overall legal compliance to all key legislation	100% overall legal compliance to all key legislation	100% overall legal compliance to all key legislation	100% overall legal compliance to all key legislation
		Ensure that sufficient and effective controls are in place to manage risks in the institution	100% controls in place to manage risks	Internal Audit reports	4.1%	100% controls in place to counter risks	100% controls in place to counter risks	100% controls in place to counter risks	100% controls in place to counter risks
	Exercise financial control over CDM	Receipt of a Clean Audit Report	Clean Audit Report	Clean Audit Report from AG	6.2%	NA	Response provided to Draft Management letter	Receipt of a Clean Audit report and inclusion of same in annual report	NA
	A legally compliant municipality	No Exceptions raised in Internal and External Audit Reports	100% compliance to internal controls	Internal Audit reports and AG Reports	3.1%	NA	Response provided to Draft Management letter	Receipt of a Clean Audit report and inclusion of same in annual report	NA
	Exercises budget control in order to prevent over/ unauthorized expenditure. This includes	100% compliance to SCM and Unauthorised, Irregular, Fruitless and Wasteful Expenditure Policy	100% compliance	Internal and External Audit Reports	3.1%	100% compliance to policy	100% compliance to policy	100% compliance to policy	100% compliance to policy

2012/09/19




2012/09/19
c.s.b.

CORE COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS (CCR's) : Danie de Lange (Director: Finance and Corporate Services)						
Core Competency Requirement	Annual Target	Proof	Weighting	Targets		
				September 2012/2013	December 2012/2013	March 2012/2013 June 2012/2013
CCR 1. MANAGERIAL Strategic Capability and Leadership	Displays standard aligned to that recommended in the MSA competency guidelines	Evidence of standard achieved as documented in a written report	25%	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard
CCR 2. OCCUPATIONAL Financial Management	Displays standard aligned to that recommended in the MSA competency guidelines	Evidence of standard achieved as documented in a written report	25%	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard
People Management and Empowerment	Displays standard aligned to that recommended in the MSA competency guidelines	Evidence of standard achieved as documented in a written report	25%	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard	Evidence of achievement / working towards the standard
Interpretation of and implementation within the legislative and national policy frameworks	Demonstrated evidence accordingly	Evidence of standard achieved as documented in a written report	25%	Demonstrated evidence accordingly	Demonstrated evidence accordingly	Demonstrated evidence accordingly



 27 of 27

 CNB

 2012/09/19